

Supercurves

David Mateos¹, Selena Ng² and Paul K. Townsend³

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom*

Abstract

The TST-dual of the general 1/4-supersymmetric D2-brane supertube is identified as a 1/4-supersymmetric IIA ‘supercurve’: a string with arbitrary transverse displacement travelling at the speed of light. A simple proof is given of the classical upper bound on the angular momentum, which is also recovered as the semi-classical limit of a quantum bound. The classical bound is saturated by a ‘superhelix’, while the quantum bound is saturated by a bosonic oscillator state in a unique $SO(8)$ representation.

¹D.Mateos@damtp.cam.ac.uk

²S.K.L.Ng@damtp.cam.ac.uk

³P.K.Townsend@damtp.cam.ac.uk

1 Introduction

A supertube is a 1/4-supersymmetric tubular D2-brane configuration of IIA superstring theory that is supported against collapse by the momentum generated by crossed electric and magnetic Born-Infeld (BI) fields on the D2-brane [1, 2]. As originally described, the tube was assumed to have a circular cross-section, in which case the stability is easily understood as a result of the centrifugal force due to an angular momentum 2-form on the plane of the circle. The T-dual (along the axis of the cylinder) of this rotationally-invariant supertube is a 1/4-supersymmetric helical IIB D-string [3] supported from collapse by the combination of angular momentum and momentum along the D-string. The TST-dual is an analogous IIA helical string [1].

Following [4], in which it was shown that a supertube cross-section could be elliptical rather than circular, we have recently shown that 1/4 supersymmetry actually allows a supertube to have a cross-section that is an *arbitrary* curve in $\mathbb{E}^8$ [5]. Here we show that this rather surprising fact becomes less surprising once one considers the TST-dual configuration, which we call a ‘supercurve’: it turns out that a periodic supercurve is equivalent to a IIA string carrying a transverse wave of arbitrary profile at the speed of light, and it has been known for some time that such configurations preserve 1/4 supersymmetry [6, 7].

Although a periodic ‘supercurve’ is equivalent to a wave on a string, this is not the description of it that emerges directly from a TST-duality of the supertube. The two features of the supertube that are essential to its stability, and 1/4 supersymmetry, are (i) that the BI electric field take the value that would be ‘critical’ in the absence of a magnetic field, and (ii) that the BI magnetic field not change sign. For the TST-dual IIA string, (i) becomes a condition of uniform motion of the string at the speed of light along the T-dual direction, while (ii) becomes the condition that the shape of the curve be monotonic in this direction. One might think that a string that moves uniformly in one direction at the speed of light must have a vanishing mass density. However, because a Nambu-Goto string is boost-invariant, only the orthogonal velocity component of a string element is physical and this ensures that its physical velocity is subluminal. Here one can see that an inconsistency could arise if the monotonicity condition were violated because this would allow the string to close, but if a closed string in $\mathbb{E}^9$ moves at the speed of light in a fixed direction then its centre of mass is effectively a massless particle, and this is possible only if the string has a vanishing mass-density.

It was shown in [7], in the IIB D-string context, that the total angular momentum of a 1/4-supersymmetric momentum-carrying string is maximized, for fixed linear momentum, when the transverse profile is a circle in a plane in $\mathbb{E}^8$. Here we provide a much simpler proof of this result. It turns out that there is a sequence of four bounds on the angular momentum

(given 1/4 supersymmetry), according to whether the angular-momentum 2-form L has rank 2, 4, 6 or 8. The ‘rank $2k$ ’ bound is saturated by curves in $\mathbb{E}^9$ for which the projection onto $\mathbb{E}^8$ is a closed curve that has projections to circles of common radii on k orthogonal planes. These could be called ‘generalized’ superhelices; the $k = 1$ case is the IIA version of the IIB D-string ‘superhelix’ described in [3]. As the $k = 1$ bound is the weakest one, it yields the maximum angular momentum for fixed linear momentum; this bound is the same as the one obtained for a D-string in [7] and for a supertube in [1, 2, 5].

One of the motivations for the present work was to gain an understanding of the implications of quantum mechanics for supertubes. Given the freedom of an arbitrary cross-sectional shape, one may wonder, for example, whether there is a unique quantum state associated to each classical shape. The TST-dual supercurve provides a simpler context in which to pose such questions because any IIA superstring configuration corresponds to a state of the *perturbative* quantum IIA superstring. We analyze the angular momentum of the 1/4-supersymmetric ‘BPS’ states of IIA superstring theory and derive a quantum version of the classical angular momentum bound, which is recovered as a semi-classical limit. It turns out that (for fixed linear momentum) there is a unique one-string state of the perturbative IIA superstring theory for which the quantum bound is saturated, as one might expect from the fact that the classical bound is saturated by a unique wave profile.

2 IIA Supercurves

Let $\xi^a = (t, \sigma)$ be the string worldsheet coordinates and $X^\mu = (X^0, \mathbf{X})$ be Cartesian coordinates for the $D = 10$ Minkowski spacetime. We shall fix the time reparametrization invariance by the choice

$$X^0 = t. \quad (2.1)$$

In this gauge, the induced worldsheet metric g_{ab} is such that

$$\sqrt{-\det g} = \sqrt{(1 - \dot{\mathbf{X}}^2)(\mathbf{X}')^2 + (\dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \mathbf{X}')^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the overdot and prime indicate differentiation with respect to t and σ , respectively. Let us now write $\mathbf{X} = (Z, \mathbf{Y})$ and set

$$\dot{Z} = 1, \quad \dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.3)$$

in which case

$$\sqrt{-\det g} = |Z'|. \quad (2.4)$$

If we interpret the Z -direction as a T-dual direction then configurations of this type are precisely what is obtained by a TST-duality transformation of the D2-brane supertube. The

condition $\dot{Z} = 1$, which means that the string moves with the speed of light in the Z -direction, arises from the condition that the BI electric field on the supertube take the value that would be critical in the absence of the magnetic field. Also, the condition that the BI magnetic field not change sign translates to the same condition on Z' ; we shall see below that this is needed for preservation of supersymmetry.

The condition for a bosonic IIA superstring configuration to preserve some fraction of supersymmetry of the IIA Minkowski vacuum is that the equation

$$\Gamma\epsilon = \epsilon \quad (2.5)$$

admits non-zero constant spinor solutions ϵ , where Γ is the ‘kappa-symmetry’ matrix

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det g}} \dot{X}^\mu X'^\nu \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}. \quad (2.6)$$

As usual, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ denotes the product of all ten Dirac matrices, anticommuting with each Γ_μ and squaring to the identity. Note that $\Gamma^2 = 1$. For configurations satisfying (2.3) we have

$$\Gamma = \text{sgn}(Z') \Gamma_{TZ} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} - \frac{1}{|Z'|} \mathbf{Y}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_Y \Gamma_T \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} (1 - \Gamma_{TZ}). \quad (2.7)$$

Let us first consider the case in which $\mathbf{Y}'/Z'$ is a constant 8-vector. As long as Z' is everywhere non-zero, this corresponds to an infinite straight string at an angle $\arctan(|\mathbf{Y}'|/Z')$ to the Z -axis. Although this string moves at the speed of light in the Z -direction, only the orthogonal component is physical and this has the subluminal magnitude

$$v = \frac{|\mathbf{Y}'|}{\sqrt{(Z')^2 + |\mathbf{Y}'|^2}}. \quad (2.8)$$

This case therefore corresponds to an orthogonally boosted infinite straight string, which we would expect to preserve 1/2 supersymmetry. It does so because in this case Γ is a *constant* matrix and the equation (2.5) admits 16 linearly independent solutions for ϵ .

If $\mathbf{Y}'/Z'$ is *not* a constant 8-vector, but Z' is nowhere zero, then the equation (2.5) is solved by spinors satisfying

$$\Gamma_{TZ} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} \epsilon = \text{sgn}(Z') \epsilon, \quad \Gamma_{TZ} \epsilon = \epsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

These two conditions are those of a 1/4-supersymmetric superposition of a string and linear momentum along the Z -axis, although the string now describes an arbitrary curve in the ‘transverse’ $\mathbb{E}^8$ space. These are the IIA supercurves that are TST-dual to the general supertube, although one might wish to restrict the term ‘supertube’ to apply only to those cases for which the cross-section is a closed non-intersecting curve in $\mathbb{E}^8$. For such cases

the supercurve will (i) be periodic and (ii) have non-zero angular momentum; it can be interpreted as a 1/2-supersymmetric string parallel to the Z -axis that has expanded to a 1/4-supersymmetric supercurve as a result of its angular momentum. There is an entirely analogous result for D-strings that generalizes the super D-helix of [3], which is the T-dual of the circularly-symmetric supertube.

3 Hamiltonian Analysis

So far we have found a class of IIA string configurations that preserve 1/4 supersymmetry, but we should still check that they solve the Nambu-Goto equations. We will do this by considering how these solutions look in phase space. This will also permit a simple demonstration that a supercurve is equivalent to a wave-carrying string. The (bosonic) phase space Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = P \cdot \dot{X} - \frac{1}{2}e [P^2 + (X')^2] - s P \cdot X', \quad (3.1)$$

where e and s are Lagrange multipliers that impose the Hamiltonian and reparametrization constraints respectively. In the $X^0 = t$ gauge this becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = P_Z D_t Z + \mathbf{P} \cdot D_t \mathbf{Y} - \mathcal{H}, \quad (3.2)$$

where D_t is the reparametrization-covariant time derivative

$$D_t = \partial_t - s \partial_\sigma, \quad (3.3)$$

and $\mathcal{H}$ is the (bosonic) Hamiltonian density. Using the reparametrization constraint,

$$P_Z Z' + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Y}' = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

we can write the Hamiltonian density as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{(P_Z \mp Z')^2 + |\mathbf{P} \mp \mathbf{Y}'|^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

For this Hamiltonian density, the P_Z and $\mathbf{P}$ equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} D_t Z &= \mathcal{H}^{-1} (P_Z \mp Z'), \\ D_t \mathbf{Y} &= \mathcal{H}^{-1} (\mathbf{P} \mp \mathbf{Y}'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

It is obvious from (3.5) that, in the $Z' = 1$ gauge, the energy is minimized for fixed P_Z when

$$\mathbf{P} = \pm \mathbf{Y}'. \quad (3.7)$$

It may be verified that such configurations solve both the equations (3.6) and the constraint (3.4) provided that

$$|D_t Z| = 1, \quad D_t Y = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

For $s = 0$ this implies $|\dot{Z}| = 1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{0}$, so we conclude that the supercurve configurations described earlier indeed solve the Nambu-Goto equations, and that they are equivalent to phase space configurations satisfying (3.7). Given (3.7), they are also solved by $\dot{Z} = 0$ but for a different value of s and with $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}$ now non-zero, such that $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \pm \mathbf{Y}'$ in the $Z' = 1$ gauge. This is the more conventional description of a supercurve as a string carrying a wave of arbitrary profile that moves at the speed of light in one direction along the string. The two descriptions are equivalent because in both cases the *covariant* time derivatives of Z and $\mathbf{Y}$ are the same, and for either description a case-by-case analysis of the signs leads to the conclusion that

$$\mathcal{H} = |Z'| + |P_Z|. \quad (3.9)$$

The first term is the energy due to the string mass density, and the second term is the energy due to the momentum-carrying wave on it¹.

The Hamiltonian density is the time-time component of the string stress-energy tensor density

$$\tau^{ab} = -\sqrt{-\det g} g^{ab}, \quad (3.10)$$

where g^{ab} is the inverse of the induced worldsheet metric. Observe that this is divergence-free in the gauge $Z' = 1$ as a consequence of the X^0 and Z equations of motion. For the supercurve one finds in this gauge that

$$\tau^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

The off-diagonal components correspond to the presence of the linear momentum density carried by the wave. The vanishing of the string tension $-\tau^{\sigma\sigma}$ provides one explanation of why an arbitrary fixed wave profile in $\mathbb{E}^8$ is stable.

4 Angular Momentum Bound

Let us suppose that $\mathbf{Y}(\sigma)$ is non-zero and periodic with period 2π . The angular-momentum 2-form per period has components

$$L_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\sigma (Y_i P_j - Y_j P_i). \quad (4.1)$$

¹Note that the reparametrization constraint implies that $P_Z = 0$ when $\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{0}$, as expected since a string with $\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{0}$ is parallel to the Z -axis.

Given (3.7), this becomes

$$L_{ij} = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\sigma (Y_i Y_j' - Y_j Y_i'), \quad (4.2)$$

which is proportional to the area of the closed curve formed by the projection of $\mathbf{Y}(\sigma)$ over one period onto the ij -plane. Recall that (3.7) was derived by minimizing the energy in the $Z' = 1$ gauge for fixed P_Z . We learn from the reparametrization constraint that the momentum per period in the Z -direction is

$$|\Delta P_Z| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\sigma |\mathbf{Y}'|^2 \quad (4.3)$$

in the $Z' = 1$ gauge. The integrand is the square of the length-density of the curve $\mathbf{Y}(\sigma)$, so we might expect, by some variant of the isoperimetric inequality, that the total squared angular momentum per period

$$J^2 = \frac{1}{2} L_{ij} L^{ij} \quad (4.4)$$

will be bounded by some multiple of ΔP_Z . We shall now establish this bound.

Specifically, we seek to maximize J^2 subject to the constraint (4.3). This is equivalent to maximizing, without constraint, the functional

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{Y}; \lambda] = J^2[\mathbf{Y}] + 2\lambda \left[\oint d\sigma |\mathbf{Y}'|^2 - 2\pi |\Delta P_Z| \right] \quad (4.5)$$

with respect to the functions $\mathbf{Y}$ and the Lagrange multiplier variable λ . Variation with respect to the functions Y_i yields second-order differential equations which are trivially once-integrated. Setting the integration constants to zero by a translation in $\mathbb{E}^8$ (under which J is invariant) we arrive at the equation

$$Y_i' = \frac{1}{\lambda J} L_{ij} Y^j. \quad (4.6)$$

Contracting with Y_i' and integrating over a period we deduce that

$$\lambda = \frac{J}{|\Delta P_Z|}. \quad (4.7)$$

By a rotation in $\mathbb{E}^8$ (under which J is invariant) we can skew-diagonalize L ; let ℓ_p , $p = 1, \dots, 4$, be the skew-eigenvalues. L is then diagonal (with eigenvalues $\pm i\ell_p$) in the complex basis

$$W_p = Y_{2p-1} + iY_{2p}, \quad (4.8)$$

and the solution of (4.6) is then

$$W_p = R_p e^{iN_p \sigma}. \quad (4.9)$$

Here R_p are arbitrary constants, and the integers

$$N_p = \frac{|\Delta P_Z|}{J^2} \ell_p \quad (4.10)$$

count the number of times per period that the string winds around the origin of the complex W_p -plane. Note that the overall phase of each of the four complex functions W_p in (4.9) may be brought to any desired value by a transformation in the residual $SO(2)^4$ subgroup of $SO(8)$ that preserves the skew-diagonal form of L .

Given (4.9), L may be computed and one can thus verify that it *is* skew-diagonal. This calculation also reveals that

$$\ell_p = N_p R_p^2. \quad (4.11)$$

If $\ell_p \neq 0$ then this together with (4.10) shows that

$$R_p^2 = \frac{J^2}{2\pi|\Delta P_Z|}. \quad (4.12)$$

If $\ell_p = 0$ then (4.10) implies that $N_p = 0$ too, so that R_p is undetermined and W_p is constant; by a translation in the complex W_p -plane we may still choose R_p to be given by the formula (4.12). Thus, the solution (4.9) becomes

$$W_p = \sqrt{\frac{J^2}{2\pi|\Delta P_Z|}} e^{iN_p\sigma}. \quad (4.13)$$

Defining

$$N^2 \equiv \sum_{p=1}^4 N_p^2 \quad (4.14)$$

and making use of (4.10), we see that the angular momentum of this solution saturates the bound

$$J \leq N^{-1} |\Delta P_Z| \quad (4.15)$$

for fixed linear momentum per period $|\Delta P_Z|$ and winding numbers N_p .

The geometry of the periodic supercurve with maximal angular momentum per period therefore depends in an essential way on the rank of the angular-momentum 2-form; through (4.10) this is determined by the number of non-zero winding numbers N_p , which, of course, may be specified independently of the linear momentum. If only $|\Delta P_Z|$ is specified, then the absolute bound on the angular momentum becomes simply

$$J \leq |\Delta P_Z|, \quad (4.16)$$

which is the bound discussed in [7] in the ST-dual context of the D-string and which is saturated when (say) $N_1 = 1$ and $N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = 0$. In this case L has rank 2 and the supercurve is a helix (of fixed pitch in the $Z' = 1$ gauge) in an $\mathbb{E}^3$ subspace of $\mathbb{E}^9$; in fact, it is the IIA dual of the superhelix of [3].

5 Light-front Gauge

With a view to simplifying the quantum treatment of supercurves within the context of type II superstring theory, we now reobtain some of the above results in the light-front gauge. We define

$$X^\pm = \frac{X^0 \pm Z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad P_\pm = \frac{P_0 \pm P_Z}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (5.1)$$

and set

$$X^+ = t, \quad P_- = -p^+ \quad (5.2)$$

for positive constant p^+ . The Hamiltonian constraint may now be solved for the light-front Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = -P_+$, while the reparametrization constraint implies that

$$(X^-)' = \frac{\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Y}'}{p^+}. \quad (5.3)$$

The bosonic Lagrangian density becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{bos} = \mathbf{P} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \frac{1}{2p^+} (|\mathbf{P}|^2 + |\mathbf{Y}'|^2) - \partial_t (p^+ X^-), \quad (5.4)$$

from which one sees that

$$\mathbf{P} = p^+ \dot{\mathbf{Y}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Using (5.3), we may rewrite the light-front gauge bosonic Hamiltonian density as

$$\mathcal{H}_{bos} = \frac{1}{2p^+} |\mathbf{P} \mp \mathbf{Y}'|^2 \pm \partial_\sigma X^-. \quad (5.6)$$

For periodic $\mathbf{Y}$ the integral of $\mathcal{H}_{bos}$ over one period is minimized, for fixed increase ΔX^- of X^- over one period, when

$$\mathbf{P} = \pm \mathbf{Y}'. \quad (5.7)$$

Given this relation one can show that

$$(X^-)' = \pm \frac{|\mathbf{Y}'|^2}{p^+}, \quad \dot{X}^- = \frac{|\mathbf{Y}'|^2}{(p^+)^2}, \quad (5.8)$$

and hence that

$$\Delta X^- = \pm \frac{1}{p^+} \oint d\sigma |\mathbf{Y}'|^2, \quad (5.9)$$

where the integral is over one period. As $(X^-)' = -\sqrt{2}Z'$, a string with zero $\mathbf{Y}'$ also has zero Z' and is therefore pointlike. Conversely, if $\mathbf{Y}'$ is non-zero then so is ΔZ , so we have a periodic wave along an infinite string in the Z -direction.

To determine the fraction of supersymmetry preserved by solutions satisfying (5.7), we return to the supersymmetry preservation condition (2.5). Imposing the conditions

$$\Gamma_+ \epsilon = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\mathfrak{t}} \epsilon = \pm \epsilon, \quad (5.10)$$

which are equivalent to (2.9), we find that (2.5) becomes an identity when (5.7) is satisfied, by virtue of the relations (5.3), (5.8) and (5.5). Thus, as expected, 1/4 supersymmetry is preserved.

The full IIA superstring action in the light-front gauge of course includes the Green-Schwarz worldsheet fermions $S^\pm$ in the $\mathbf{8}_s$ and $\mathbf{8}_c$ spinor representations of $SO(8)$. The phase-space Lagrangian density generalizing (5.4) is (omitting total derivatives)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{Y}} - i(S^+)^T \dot{S}^+ - i(S^-)^T \dot{S}^- - \mathcal{H}, \quad (5.11)$$

where the Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2p^+} [|\mathbf{P}|^2 + |\mathbf{Y}'|^2 - i(S^+)^T (S^+)' + i(S^-)^T (S^-)'] . \quad (5.12)$$

The angular-momentum 2-form generalizing the bosonic expression of (4.1) is

$$L_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\sigma \left[Y_i P_j - Y_j P_i - \frac{1}{4} (S^+)^T \gamma_{ij} S^+ - \frac{1}{4} (S^-)^T \gamma_{ij} S^- \right], \quad (5.13)$$

where γ_{ij} is the antisymmetrized product of a pair of $SO(8)$ Dirac matrices.

The phase space action is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations generated by

$$Q_\pm = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2p^+}} \oint d\sigma (\mathbf{P} \pm \mathbf{Y}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} S^\mp. \quad (5.14)$$

We note here for future use that

$$K = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\sigma \left[\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Y}' - \frac{i}{2} (S^+)^T (S^+)' - \frac{i}{2} (S^-)^T (S^-)' \right] \quad (5.15)$$

is invariant under these transformations. When $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Y}' = 0$, the functional K becomes the supersymmetric extension of the right-hand side of (4.3), and hence equal to the quantity $|\Delta P_Z|$ held fixed in deriving the classical angular momentum bound. The fermions make no difference classically but we shall need to consider them in the following discussion of the quantum-mechanical angular momentum bound.

6 Quantum Mechanical Bound

The worldsheet fields can be expressed as Fourier series, the coefficients of which become annihilation and creation operators in the quantum theory. In particular, for zero total

transverse momentum, we have the oscillator expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \mp \mathbf{Y}' &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left[\mathbf{a}_n^{\pm} e^{\pm i n \sigma} + (\mathbf{a}_n^{\pm})^{\dagger} e^{\mp i n \sigma} \right], \\ S^{\pm} &= s^{\pm} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[S_n^{\pm} e^{\pm i n \sigma} + (S_n^{\pm})^{\dagger} e^{\mp i n \sigma} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_n^{\pm}$ and $S_n^{\pm}$ are, respectively, the boson and fermion oscillator annihilation operators. It follows that

$$Q_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{p^+}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left[\mathbf{a}_n^{\pm} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} (S_n^{\pm})^{\dagger} + (\mathbf{a}_n^{\pm})^{\dagger} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} S_n^{\pm} \right], \quad (6.2)$$

and also that

$$K = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left(\mathcal{N}_n^B + \mathcal{N}_n^F \right), \quad (6.3)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_n^B$ and $\mathcal{N}_n^F$ are, respectively, the boson and fermion number operators for the oscillators of the n th Fourier mode.

One-string states are built by the action of the creation operators on the oscillator vacuum

$$|0\rangle = |0\rangle_+ \otimes |0\rangle_-, \quad (6.4)$$

which is annihilated by both Q_+ and Q_- . However, because of the fermion zero modes $s^{\pm}$, the one-string vacuum is actually the tensor product of an $\mathbf{8}_v \oplus \mathbf{8}_c$ $SO(8)$ multiplet of $|0\rangle_+$ vacua with an $\mathbf{8}_v \oplus \mathbf{8}_s$ multiplet of $|0\rangle_-$ vacua. The bosonic vacua are thus in the

$$\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{35} \oplus \mathbf{56} \quad (6.5)$$

tensor representation of $SO(8)$. Initially, at least, we will consider only the $SO(8)$ singlet because we can then ignore the effects of the fermion zero modes on the angular momentum. Thus we may take the one-string vacuum to be the oscillator vacuum (6.4). The one-string states of the form

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle_+ \otimes |0\rangle_- \quad (6.6)$$

with $|\psi\rangle_+ \neq |0\rangle_+$ are annihilated by Q_- but not by Q_+ , and hence preserve 1/4 supersymmetry. These, and the analogous states annihilated by Q_+ (but not by Q_-) are, as is well-known, the 1/4-supersymmetric ‘BPS’ states of the quantum IIA superstring theory (in light-front gauge).

Henceforth we shall need to consider only the ‘+’ oscillators so we omit the ‘+’ superscript. A basis for the $|\psi\rangle_+$ factor in (6.6) is

$$\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} |B\rangle_n \otimes |F\rangle_n, \quad (6.7)$$

where

$$|B\rangle_n = \prod_{i=1}^8 [(a_n^i)^\dagger]^{B_n^i} |0\rangle_n^B, \quad |F\rangle_n = \prod_{\alpha=1}^8 [(S_n^\alpha)^\dagger]^{F_n^\alpha} |0\rangle_n^F. \quad (6.8)$$

Here B_n^i and F_n^α are non-negative integers, and $|0\rangle_n^B$ and $|0\rangle_n^F$ are the ground states of the n th bosonic and fermionic oscillators, respectively. Note that each of the states (6.7) is an eigenstate of the bosonic and fermionic number operators for the n th oscillator with eigenvalues

$$B_n = \sum_{i=1}^8 N_n^i, \quad F_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^8 F_n^\alpha. \quad (6.9)$$

Bosonic BPS states are the subset of these states for which $\sum_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ is even (since we chose to construct them on a bosonic one-string vacuum).

Given the oscillator expansion of the worldsheet fields, the angular momentum 2-form L_{ij} is now the operator

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[2i (a_n^\dagger)_{[i} (a_n)_{j]} - \frac{1}{2} S_n^\dagger \gamma_{ij} S_n \right] + \cdots, \quad (6.10)$$

where we have omitted the zero mode contribution, and the ellipsis indicates terms involving the ‘ $-$ ’ oscillators that are irrelevant to matrix elements involving only the BPS states. Since L_{ij} commute with the oscillator number operators, states of the form (6.8) for fixed B_n and F_n can be assembled into $SO(8)$ multiplets. Each of these multiplets may be characterized by its Dynkin labels $\mathbf{w} = (w^1, w^2, w^3, w^4)$, in terms of which the quadratic Casimir of $SO(8)$ takes the form [8]

$$J^2 = \sum_{a,b=1}^4 G_{ab} w^a (w^b + 2), \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$G_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.12)$$

Note that the formula (6.11) is independent of n , whereas the expression for K is such that the higher the level of an oscillator, the larger is its contribution to K .

We seek states that maximize J^2 for fixed K , or equivalently states that minimize K for fixed J^2 . To this end let us write K in terms of the separate boson and fermion contributions as $K = K_B + K_F$. Suppose for the moment that $K_F = 0$; in this case it is clear that the angular momentum will be maximized for fixed K when $B_n = 0$ for $n > 1$, and hence $B_1 = K$, because we may otherwise reduce K without changing J^2 by the substitution $n \rightarrow 1$. This

argument fails for the fermi oscillators because $F_n \leq 8$, for all n , due to the Pauli exclusion principle. Thus, once we include fermi oscillators it is no longer obvious that maximizing J^2 for fixed K requires all oscillators with $n > 1$ to be in their ground states. Nevertheless, it seems to be true for small values of K and in these cases *all* fermion oscillators are in their ground states. An obvious conjecture is that this will remain true for all K . We will examine the consequences of this conjecture below but we should first stress that it is not needed in the semi-classical limit of large K , for the following reason. If K is large then either K_B or K_F , or both, must be large. But if K_F is large then most of the fermion oscillators must be in levels with $n \gg 1$ because of the exclusion principle, so a large number of fermions would make a large contribution to K for correspondingly small contribution to J^2 (as compared to boson oscillators). Thus, for large K , the angular momentum will be maximized in some state for which $K_B \gg K_F$, and this means that the fermion oscillators contribute negligibly to the angular momentum in the semi-classical limit; we may then assume, for simplicity, that all fermion oscillators are in their ground states. Note that the effect of choosing a non-singlet $SO(8)$ irrep in the decomposition (6.5) also has a negligible effect in the limit of large J , thus justifying our simplifying assumption that the one-string vacuum is an $SO(8)$ singlet.

Since the state with maximal J for fixed K has all bosonic oscillators with $n > 1$ in their ground states, the assumption that all fermion oscillators are in their ground states leaves only BPS states of the form

$$\prod_i [(a_1^i)^\dagger]^K |0\rangle_+ \otimes |0\rangle_- . \quad (6.13)$$

These states transform in the $[(\mathbf{8}_v)^K]_{\text{sym}}$ representation of $SO(8)$. Within this reducible representation, the $SO(8)$ irrep corresponding to the traceless K th rank tensor has the largest value of J^2 ; this irrep has Dynkin labels

$$\mathbf{w} = (K, 0, 0, 0) . \quad (6.14)$$

Using (6.11) we deduce that $J^2 = K^2 + 6K$ for this representation, and hence the bound

$$J^2 \leq K^2 + 6K , \quad (6.15)$$

with equality for the representation (6.14). Depending on the validity of the conjecture above, and the effect of choosing a non-singlet representation in (6.5), this bound may require adjustment for small K , but it is certainly valid in the semi-classical limit of large K , for which it reduces to $J \leq K$. We have derived this bound in the light-front gauge but the result can of course be applied in any gauge; in particular in the ‘physical’ gauge $\dot{X}^0 = Z' = 1$, in which K equals $|\Delta P_Z|$. We thus recover the classical bound (4.16).

7 Conclusions

This paper was motivated by a desire to better understand the properties of D2-brane supertubes of general cross section by considering the TST-dual IIA superstring configuration. This is a $1/4$ -supersymmetric IIA string carrying both momentum and angular momentum that we have called a ‘supercurve’; it includes as a special case the ‘superhelix’ described for D-strings in [3]. In fact, IIA supercurves just provide an alternative description of a IIA string carrying a left or right moving wave of arbitrary profile. As it has long been known that such configurations preserve $1/4$ supersymmetry, this may help demystify the result of [5] that $1/4$ supersymmetry allows a supertube to have an arbitrary cross-section in $\mathbb{E}^8$.

The main focus of this paper has been on the angular momentum carried by supercurves. The angular momentum of a supertube is subject to an upper bound that is saturated by supertubes of planar and circular cross-section [1, 2, 5]. The latter have superhelices as their TST duals, so one would expect superhelices to saturate an upper bound on the angular momentum (for fixed linear momentum). A classical bound of this type was derived in [7] in a slightly different context. We have given a much simpler proof of it, and a refinement to the case in which one fixes the rank of the angular momentum 2-form in addition to the linear momentum.

We have shown how the classical bound on the angular momentum can be recovered from the semi-classical limit of a quantum bound derived within the context of perturbative IIA superstring theory. There is a unique $SO(8)$ representation for which the angular momentum is maximal. We would certainly expect that many of the properties of quantum supercurves continue to hold for quantum supertubes, and that was another motivation for their study. Our results lead us to expect that a quantum supertube of maximal angular momentum will again be associated to a unique $SO(8)$ representation.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jerome Gauntlett, Jaume Gomis, Joaquim Gomis and Daniel Waldram for helpful discussions. D.M. is supported by a PPARC fellowship. S.N. is supported by the British Federation of Women Graduates and the Australian Federation of University Women (Queensland).

References

- [1] D. Mateos and P. K. Townsend, *Supertubes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 011602, [hep-th/0103030](#).
- [2] R. Emparan, D. Mateos and P. K. Townsend, *Supergravity Supertubes*, J. High Energy Phys. **07** (2001) 011, [hep-th/0106012](#).
- [3] J-H. Cho and P. Oh, *Super D-Helix*, Phys. Rev. **D 64** (2001) 106010, [hep-th/0105095](#).
- [4] D. Bak and A. Karch, *Supersymmetric Brane-Antibrane Configurations*, Nucl. Phys. **B 626** (2002) 165, [hep-th/0110039](#).
- [5] D. Mateos, S. Ng and P. K. Townsend, *Tachyons, Supertubes and Brane/Anti-Brane Systems*, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2002) 016, [hep-th/0112054](#).
- [6] A. Dabholkar, J. P. Gauntlett, J. A. Harvey and D. Waldram, *Strings as Solitons and Black Holes as Strings*, Nucl. Phys. **B 474** (1996) 85, [hep-th/9511053](#).
- [7] O. Lunin and S. D. Mathur, *Metric of the Multiply Wound Rotating String*, Nucl. Phys. **B 610** (2001) 49, [hep-th/0105136](#).
- [8] R. Slansky, *Group Theory for Unified Model Building*, Phys. Rep. **79** (1981) 1.